

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,757	07/03/2001	Blaise Didillon	PET-1899	8217
	7590 02/05/2003			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400			ARNOLD JR, JAMES	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	7
•			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/897,757	DIDILLON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication	James Arnold, Jr.	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 July 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 14-24 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun (USPN 5,114,562) in view of Sawyer (ep-0 419 266).

The Haun reference discloses a process comprising a stage in which the sulfur-containing compounds present in the catalytic cracking gasoline are at least partially transformed into H2S and into saturated sulfur-containing compounds in a reactor. See column 2, lines 59-68 and Column 3, lines 1-17. The reference also discloses a second stage of separating H2S from the gasoline produced in the first stage. See column 2, lines 59-68 and Column 3, lines 1-17. The reference discloses a process whereby stage A is carried out by passing the feedstock, in the presence of hydrogen, over a catalyst comprising at least one element selected from the group

Art Unit: 1764

consisting of at least one element of Group VIII and at least one element of Group VIB. See Column 2, lines 59-68 and Column 3, lines 1-17. The reference discloses the use of nickel and cobalt as the Group VIII metals and molybdenum and tungsten as the group VIB metals. Column 2, lines 59-68 and column 3, lines 1-17. The reference discloses desulfurization reaction zone temperatures ranging from 204-649C, pressure between about 400 psi to 3,500 psi (2.76 Mpa to 24.13 Mpa), and volumetric flow rate of liquid between 0.2 hr⁻¹ to 6.0 hr⁻¹. The Haun reference discloses a process wherein the catalyst for stage A is different from the catalyst for stage B. See Column 2, lines 59-68 and Column 3, lines 1-17.

The Haun reference does not disclose a third stage (stage C) in which saturated sulfur-containing compounds remaining in the gasoline are at least partially transformed into H2S. The reference does not disclose a pretreatment stage, before stage A, comprising hydrogenating diolefins in the feedstock. The reference does not disclose the use of catalysts in the sulfide form. The reference does not disclose a stage A process utilizing an H₂/HC ratio of between about 100 and about 600 liters. The reference does not disclose a process wherein stage C is carried out in the presence of a catalyst comprising at least one base metal selected from the group consisting of nickel, cobalt, iron, molybdenum, and tungsten. The reference does not disclose a process whereby the base metal content is between 1 to 60% by weight, and said metal is sulfurized. The reference does not disclose a stage C process carried out at a temperature of between about 200 C and about 350 C, a pressure of between about 0.5 and 5 Mpa, a liquid volumetric flow rate between about 0.5 and 10 h⁻¹, and an H₂/HC ratio of between about 100 and about 600 liters per liter. The reference does not disclose a reactor possessing a catalyst for stage C. The reference does not disclose a process wherein stage B for the elimination of H2S is

Art Unit: 1764

carried out by adsorption in the presence of an adsorbent mass selected from the group consisting of zinc oxide, copper oxide, and molybdenum oxide. The reference does not disclose a process wherein H2S is separated using a membrane.

Sawyer discloses the use of sulfided group VIII and group VIB catalysts. See Page 4, lines 19-25.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a third stage (stage C) in which saturated sulfur-containing compounds remaining in the gasoline are at least partially transformed into H2S because hydrogenation of hydrocarbons as disclosed by Haun would naturally result in the formation of H2S. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a pretreatment stage, before stage A, comprising hydrogenating diolefins in the feedstock because the application discloses production of H2S through hydrogenation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize catalysts in the sulfide form because the Sawyer reference discloses the sulfided form of Group VIB and Group VIII metals as effective hydrotreatment tools and the application claims the use of Group VIB and Group VIII metals as catalytic components. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a stage A process utilizing an H₂/HC ratio of between about 100 and about 600 liters because Haun discloses the use of hydrogen in the hydrodesulfurization process and it would be appropriate to use hydrogen in any amount effective for hydrotreating. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a process wherein stage C is carried out in the presence of a catalyst comprising at least one base

Art Unit: 1764

metal selected from the group consisting of nickel, cobalt, iron, molybdenum, and tungsten because these metals are part of the catalyst used in the first stage to transform sulfur containing compounds into H2S. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a process whereby the base metal content is between 1 to 60% by weight, and said metal is sulfurized because the base metal is disclosed by the Haun reference and it would be appropriate to use it in any weight percent effective for hydrotreating and because sulfurization of the base metals are disclosed as effective hydrotreaters in the Sawyer reference. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a stage C process carried out at a temperature of between about 200 C and about 350 C, a pressure of between about 0.5 and 5 Mpa, a liquid volumetric flow rate between about 0.5 and 10 h⁻¹, and an H₂/HC ratio of between about 100 and about 600 liters per liter because these are temperatures, pressures, liquid volumetric flow rates, and H₂/HC ratios utilized in the first stage to transform sulfur containing compounds into H2S. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a reactor possessing a catalyst for stage C because a reactor possessing a catalyst for the first stage is disclosed in order to transform sulfur containing compounds into H2S.

Claims 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun (USPN 5,114,562) in view of Sawyer (ep-0 419 266) as applied to claims 14-24 and 27-31 above, and further in view of Robinson (USPN 4,925,549).

Robinson discloses a process wherein the elimination of H2S is carried out by adsorption in the presence an adsorbent mass selected from zinc oxide and molybdenum oxide or by other membranes. See column 3, lines 30-40.

Art Unit: 1764

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a process wherein stage B for the elimination of H2S is carried out by adsorption in the presence of an adsorbent mass or membrane selected from the group consisting of zinc oxide, copper oxide, and molybdenum oxide and a process wherein H2S is separated using a membrane because the Robinson reference discloses the use of a sorbent mass for elimination of H2S and copper, as a transition metal, has similar properties to zinc and molybdenum.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Podrebarac(1) et al. (USPN 6,444,118); Podrebarac(2) et al. 6,303,020 (USPN 6,444,118); Didillon et. al (USPN 6,334,948); Chester et al. (USPN 4,442,223); Gildert et al. (USPN 6,083, 378). The Podrebarac(1) reference discloses the use of group VIII metals in hydrodesulfurization. The Podrebarac(2) reference discloses a multistage hydrodesulfurization process. The Gildert reference discloses the formation of H2S through hydrotreating. The Chester reference discloses the use of Group VIII metals as catalytic components. The Didillon reference discloses the use of Group VIII and Group VIB metals in desulfurization.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Arnold, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-305-5308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM; Fridays from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM with alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1764

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

ja January 26, 2003

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner